

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ~ P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,832	01/15/2002	Gregory R. Mundy	432722002612	3485
25225	7590 12/11/2003		EXAM	INER
1.101-11501	& FOERSTER LLP		GITOMER, RALPH J	, RALPH J
3811 VALLE SUITE 500	Y CENTRE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CA 92130-2332		1651	18

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/052,832

Applicant(s)

Ralph Gitomer

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit

Mundy et al.

1651

		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	or Reply	TO EVRIDE 2 MONTH/S) CROM
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	·
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 17, 2</u>	003
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is re Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>25 and 45-70</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)	
	Claim(s) <u>25 and 45-70</u>	
	Claim(s)	
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	tion Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner
•	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)💢	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
1	I. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
2	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
_	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) ∟ 15\□		• •
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 T2U and/or T2T.
Attachme 1) X Not	ent(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	6) Other:

Art Unit: 1651

The IDS, RCE Request, amendment, and Petition to Make Special received 5/27/2003, the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment received 11/24/203, have been entered and claims 25, 45-70 are currently pending in this application.

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The full name of each inventor (family name and at least one given name together with any initial) has not been set forth. See G. Rossini.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 25, 45-70 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7-13 of copending Application No. 09/361,775, now US Patent 6,410,512. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications claim PSI for the same function but the present application broadly includes additional compounds.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 25, 45-70 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-44 of copending Application No. 10/052,832. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of '832 also includes inhibiting NF-kB.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 25, 45-70 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/050,425. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of '425 also include inhibiting NF-kB.

Art Unit: 1651

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25, 64-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyamoto.

Miyamoto (JP 62-192311) entitled "Hair Growth Cosmetic" teaches in the English translation provided on page 2, pentoxyfylline has hair growth effect. On page 9 human hair growth acceleration is shown.

The present application discloses on page 29, line 17, pentoxyfylline is a proteasome inhibitor.

This application contains sequence disclosures on pages 27 and 39 that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. Applicant must comply with the

Art Unit: 1651

requirements of the sequence rules (37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825) before the application can be examined under 35 U.S.C. §§ 131 and 132.

Applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mailing date of this communication within which to comply with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Direct the reply to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the reply.

The following prior art pertinent to applicant's disclosure is made of record and not relied upon:

Klein (5,340,813) teaches xanthine compounds.

Gasper (6,376,476) teaches bone growth.

Mundy (6,462,019) teaches bone growth.

Tatsu (JP 62-192311) teaches pentoxyfylline for hair growth.

Adams (5,780,454) teaches proteasome inhibitors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-0732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,832 Page 6

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-1235. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651
